

M.C.O.C. SPECIAL CASE NO. OF 21/06**DATE: 21ST JUNE, 2011****EXT. NO.1095****DEPOSITION OF WITNESS NO.107 FOR THE PROSECUTION**

I do hereby on solemn affirmation state that:

My Name : Rajan Prasad Singh

Age : 42 years

Occupation : Service (PSI, STF, Patna, Bihar)

Res. Address : Officers barracks, STF, Near Golghar, Patna.

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY SPP RAJA THAKARE FOR THE STATE.

1. I am attached to STF, Patna, Bihar as PSI at present. I was the station house officer of Police Station Basupatti, Dist. Madubani, Bihar in 2006. The ATS officers of Mumbai had come with police officers from Patna at 2.30 a.m. at our police station on 20/07/06. They made request for help in arresting the accused in the Mumbai railway bomb blasts case, CR No. 77/06. They told me the names of the accused as Kamal Ahmed Ansari, resident of Basupatti and Khalid Aziz, resident of Malmal. On inquiry with the local sources I came to know that Kamal Ahmed was not at his house and may come in the morning to his house. We started from the police station

at about 3.00 a.m. after making station diary entry and went near Prasad Talkies in Basupatti and laid a trap there. I have brought the original station diary with me. The station diary entry no. 335 is about the arrival of the ATS officers and station diary entry no. 336 is about our departure from the police station. It is in the handwriting of PSI Indradev and it is countersigned by me. Its contents are correct. The contents of the photocopy of the two entries now shown to me are as per the original. (The photocopy of that page is marked as **Ext. 1096**).

2. At about 4.00 a.m. we saw two boys coming towards the bazar. We stopped them. One told his name as Kamal Ansari and the other told his name as Khalid Aziz Shaikh. First one said that he is a resident of Sabji Bazar, Basupatti and the second said that he is a resident of village Malmal under Police Station Kalwahi, Dist. Madhubani. They were searched in the presence of two local persons who were going by. A Nokia mobile handset and Rs. 460/- cash amount was found in the shirt pocket of Kamal Ansari. A mobile handset and cash amount of about Rs. 260/- was found in the search of Khalid Aziz. The Maharashtra police officers prepared a panchnama of the search of both the persons and both the panchas

signed on it. The articles were taken in custody. The panchanama was over at about 4.30 a.m.

3. Then we went to the house of Kamal Ansari and the door of the house was got opened by him. Search of the house was taken in the presence of two local persons. There was a wooden cot in the room on the ground floor. There were bundles of clothes and household articles below the cot. Behind them there was a polythene bag, in which there was black coloured powder. On making inquiries Kamal Ansari did not give any answers. We suspected that it was some explosive substance. Approximately 10 gms of that powder was taken and put in a plastic pouch and sealed in a packet. The remaining powder was put in a plastic jar that was in the house. It was tied by thread. A panchanama was prepared in the presence of the panchas and they signed on it. A copy of the panchanama was given to Kamal Ansari and one was given to his wife. I will be able to identify the plastic jar and the black powder that was put in it. (Witness is shown the plastic jar Art-39). It is the same plastic jar in which the black powder was put. The Mumbai police officers took the accused and the seized articles in their possession. One vehicle in which there

were some Mumbai police officers remained behind in Basupatti and I and the senior inspector from Mumbai police went to Malmal along with local police officers of Police Station Kalwahi with the other person Khalid Aziz. We all went to his house. No objectionable article was found in his house. Then I went back to Basupatti with my staff and the Mumbai police went to Mumbai and the Patna police went to Patna. On returning I made station diary entry at sr. No. 339 at 7.45 a.m. The said station diary entry in the original station diary is in the handwriting of PSI Indradev, it is countersigned by me and its contents are correct. The contents of the photocopy of that entry in Ext.1096 are as per the contents of the original.

4. I received a letter outward no. 1397 dated 05/09/06 from Addl. C.P.,ATS, Mumbai on 09/09/06 informing me that the black powder that was seized from the house of the accused Kamal Ansari, was RDX powder. I was on leave on that day. PSI Yogeshwar Chaudhari made station diary entry no. 164 and lodged formal FIR No. 102/06 dated 09/09/06 and gave the investigation to PSI B. Upadhyay. The contents of the original station diary no. 164 now shown to me are in the handwriting of PSI Yogeshwar Chaudhari, which I know and

identify. The contents of the certified true photocopy of that entry are as per the original. (It is marked as **Ext. 1097**). I have brought the original First Information Register. The copy of the FIR in the record of the case is as per the original. (It is marked as **Ext. 1098**). As per the order of the Supreme Court all the original papers were sent to the ATS, Mumbai. The letter Ext. 30 and the documents accompanying it upto page no. 717 are the same.

5. I will be able to identify the accused Kamal Ansari. (Witness looks around the court room and points to the accused no.1, who is made to stand up and tell his name, which he states as Kamal Ahmed Mohd. Vakil Ansari). He was the same accused.

Cross-examination by adv Rasal for A1 and 4 to 6

6. I was attached to Police Station Basupatti from November 2005 to April 2007. The population of Basupatti is around 50 – 60,000. The police station is in the village. Market area starts from the police station.

(Adjourned for recess).

Date: 21/06/11

Special Judge

Resumed on SA after recess

7. There is no court in Basupatti. Nearest court is at Madhubani, which is at a distance of about 40 kms. Patna is about 175 kms from Madhubani by road. There is no straight connection from Madhubani to Patna by train. It is a longer distance. One would require 5-6 hours from Patna airport to Basupatti by road. I was incharge of the Basupatti Police Station at that time. My superior officer was the inspector at Jaynagar and the SP at Madhubani. Jaynagar is 25 kms from Madhubani. Basupatti and Jaynagar are in Madhubani district. The PI and SP visit Police Station Basupatti anytime during rounds and also for inspection. The official seal (Mohor) was provided to the police station. The ATS police officers from Mumbai did not ask for the official seal on 20/07/06. I knew about the procedure of affixing official seal to the lac seal when any article is sealed during investigation. I again say that the official seal (mohor) that was provided to our police station is the rubber stamp of the police station. The rubber stamp is used on official letters.
8. I met the ATS officers from Mumbai for the first time at 2.30 a.m. on 20/07/06. I was present in the police station at that time. I came to know about the purpose for which they had come when they

told me about it. I accompanying them for arresting the accused was an official work. I came to know about the names of the persons whom they had come to arrest. I had made station diary entry no. 336 at 3.00 a.m. while going out of the police station for that purpose. It is true that names of the persons who were to be arrested is not mentioned in this entry. We did not go to the house of the accused to see whether he was there. I did not know the accused before that, but I came to know his name when the ATS officers told me.

9. There were MLA elections in 2005. Nearly all the parties contested the election. It is not true that I knew the active workers of the political parties. This is because I was posted at 10.00 p.m. on 12/11/05 and the voting was on 13/11/05. One Ram Naresh Pande got elected from Harlakhi constituency in which Dist. Madhubani is. I do not know whether there were many programs in the constituency after he was elected. It is not necessary that we are posted for bandobast duty for such programs. I had no occasion to be posted on bandobast duty for the programs of Ram Naresh Pande. It is not true that Kamal Ansari was the active worker of Ram Naresh Pande and therefore I was knowing him by name. I made inquiries about him in

that night itself by telephoning my sources after the Mumbai police came there. I did not come to know where he had gone and for what purpose. I had asked my sources about it, but they could not tell. I did not feel it necessary to go to his house and verify whether he was or was not in the house, as it was an important case and my visit to his house would have alerted him. I came to know at that time that he was involved in a sensational case. I did not take the search of the ATS police officers when we started from the police station.

10. Prasad Talkies is approximately 400-500 meters from the police station. Bus stand is near Prasad Talkies. There are shops and houses near the bus stand. The bazar area starts from police station and is upto some distance ahead of Prasad Talkies. There are shops and houses in the bazar area and it is crowded. It is not true that people are coming there and going from there in the nights. We were at the spot of the trap for about one hour. It is true that during this one hour we saw many people coming there and going from there. There was no private person with us at the time of the trap. We took the help of the two local persons after the two boys were stopped. I cannot say for what purpose the two local persons had

come there. The panchas were called there by our local staff, but I cannot tell exactly who out of my staff called them. I do not know what articles they had with them. We were at the spot for about half an hour after the two boys were stopped. Two-four local persons might have gathered there during the panchanama. The two boys were told that they were stopped for making inquiries in connection with the Mumbai railway bomb blasts case. I do not exactly remember the name of the police officer who was writing the panchanama. Mumbai police did not ask for lac seal from me. They had brought their own seal. They used their seal to seal the articles that they had seized. They used the seal at the house of the accused at the time of the seizure of the articles. The accused was staying in the house with his mother, wife and children. The house of the accused consisted of ground floor and first floor. Ration cards had been given to persons there many years ago. I did not ask for the ration card from the members of the house of the accused no.1. Mumbai police had asked it, but they were told that there was no ration card. There were houses around that house. It is not true that persons from the neighbouring houses gathered there when we went there. They were

inside their houses. I do not remember whether the Mumbai police asked the nearby residents as to whether the accused resides there and about his family members. It is not true that some construction work was going on at that house. All the things described by me took place within the jurisdiction of my police station. I did not prepare any panchanama about the articles that were found. I did not register an FIR in my police station at that time. I did not feel it necessary to take the accused before the nearest magistrate as I had not arrested him. Mumbai police did not ask me about the nearest magistrate. The black powder that was found in his house was not weighed. Its sample was also not weighed. I do not remember the name of the officer who was writing the panchanama and the weights of the sample and the black powder. PSI Yogeshwar Chaudhari was not with us that night. I do not remember whether there was any correspondence between me and the ATS, Mumbai prior to November 2006. The ATS officers did not call me to Mumbai for giving statement. Hiralar Jha was the SDPO. I do not remember the name of the inspector. The SP was Amrit Raj. On the next day the SP had asked me about the incident when I went there for a meeting. I

did not register a case as no case was made out at that time. The case was made out on 09/09/06 when I received the letter from the Mumbai police. I was attached to the same police station on that day, but was on leave. I resumed duty after 4-5 days. The investigation of that case was not entrusted to me. It is not true that my statement was not recorded in that case. PSI B. Upadhyay had recorded my statement, but I do not remember the exact date and whether it was recorded 10 days, 20 days or one month after 09/09/06.

11. It is not true that I falsely prepared all the station diary entries to help the ATS officers, that no trap was laid and the house of the accused was not searched and nothing was found in his house. It is not true that the accused no. 1 was illegally taken away from that place and therefore, I deposed falsely to justify their actions. It is not true that the FIR was registered at the instance of the ATS officers to justify their actions.

Cross-examination by adv Wahab Khan for A2, 7 and 10

(Adjourned to tomorrow at the request of the accused at 4.30 p.m. as advocate Wahab Khan is busy in CR no. 32).

(Y.D. SHINDE)

Date : 21/06/11

SPECIAL JUDGE

Date : 22/06/11
Resumed on SA

Cross-examination by adv Shetty for A3, 8, 9, 11 & 12

12. I do not know who PSI Ranjankumar is. I am in service of the Bihar Police since 1994. I knew upto 2006 about the work in the police force and how to do investigations. Mumbai police did not take my statement. The IO of CR no. 102/06 of PS Basupatti has taken my statement. I do not remember the exact date when it was taken. PSI B. Upadhyay had taken my statement. He was not present during the proceedings on 20/07/06.
13. Accused no. 1's house is about 200-300 meters from the spot where he was stopped. It is in the area of my jurisdiction. Basupatti is a village. I had occasions to do night duty after I joined Basupatti Police Station. People in that area start for morning walk after 4.00 or 4.30 a.m. I thought that the two boys were coming from outside when we first saw them. They were coming from the south side from the direction of Kalwahi. Nepal border is 7-8 kms from the spot where they were stopped. There is easy access to Nepal by that road. Madhubani district is adjacent to Nepal. People from

Madhubani and Nepal visit each others places regularly. I cannot tell the names of the local sources from whom I made inquiries about Kamal Ansari and Khalid Aziz. I came to know about them in between 2.30 and 3.30 a.m. I do not have any documentary evidence other than my words to show about this inquiry. I did not mention about this in the station diary entries. I cannot read and write Marathi. I could understand some words of Marathi in 2006. We required 2-3 minutes from the police station to reach Prasad Talkies. Kotwali Police Station is in Patna. Dist. Patna. It is in the city. I did not know PSI Anandkumar of Bihar before 2006. I met him only on that day and did not meet him thereafter. He was attached to Anti Extortion Cell, Patna at that time.

14. The local chowkidar who was with us first identified one of the two persons as Kamal Ansari. There were three chowkidars at Basupatti, all of them knew him and identified him. I do not remember the exact total of the staff at our police station at that time. One sub-inspector, four constables and three chowkidars were on duty in the police station in the night. The chowkidars were taking round. One was Laxman, one was probably Dularchand and I do not remember

the name of the third. I do not remember the names of the constables. PSI I. D. Paswan was present. I was staying in the police station at that time. I was not on night duty. I was the incharge of the police station at that time as I was present in the police station. I was on duty for 24 hours. My house was in Patna at that time. I did not have my house in Madhubani. PSI Paswan also used to stay in the police station. There was arrangement of residence of officers and constables in the police station itself. The chowkidars used to reside in their houses as they were from that locality. I used to assign the duties to the staff. We used to maintain OD register for entering the duties assigned to the staff. I have not brought the extract from the OD register. Mumbai Police did not ask for that extract and I did not produce it on my own. I can bring it if it is available there. Now I do not know whether it is available or not.

15. Both panchanamas were prepared in Marathi by a Maharashtra police officer. I do not remember his name. Neither my signature nor the signature of any police officer of Bihar was taken on both panchanamas and copies of both panchanamas were not given to me. Mumbai police gave a written requisition when they arrived in

the police station. They wrote it there and gave it to me. They did not take my acknowledgment on their copy. I cannot say whether they had a copy with them. The requisition may be in the file of the police station. I do not know who is Rajiv Ranjan. There are two confidential cells, one of SP and one of DM. I do not know in which confidential cell Rajiv Ranjan was working.

16. The two local persons were called at about 4.00 a.m. They were called from the road. I do not remember who out of our team called them. I knew them by face. We went to the house of Kamal directly after taking his and other person's search. The purpose for which we went to the house of Kamal, was to take the search of his house. Same was the purpose for going to the house of Khalid Aziz from the house of Kamal. It was decided to take the search of their house at the time when they were accosted and their searches were taken. The Sr. Inspector of the ATS, Mumbai decided this. We were at the spot for about half an hour after the two persons were accosted. Khalid Aziz's house was about 7-8 kms from the spot. It was in the jurisdiction of Police Station Kalwahi. His house may be about the same distance from the house of Kamal. I did not take the

mobile handset found in the search of Kamal Ansari in my hands for inspection. The search was taken by Mumbai police. I cannot tell the name of the officer who took the search. The mobile handset was in the custody of the Mumbai police, but I cannot tell the name of the officer in whose custody it was. I did not have any talk with Kamal Ansari during the period of half an hour. PSI Indradev was also on duty of 24 hours. There was no weekly holiday, but whenever required we used to take casual leave or compensatory leave. PSI Indradev did not come with us to the spot. We reached the house of Kamal within 3-4 minutes after the panchanama was completed at the spot. All the persons in the trap team and the two boys, who were accosted, except the panchas, who went home, went to the house of Kamal. The panchas left the spot at about 4.35 a.m. The panchas were called to the house of Kamal about two minutes after we reached there. We had not entered the house before the panchas arrived. I and Sr. PI Tajane of ATS, Mumbai decided to call the panchas as they are required for search. Chowkidar Laxman went to call the panchas. I do not remember his buckle number. There were four police constables of my police station with us at both places. I do

not remember their names. The three chowkidars were also with us at both places. I do not remember their buckle numbers. Laxman brought the panchas within one or two minutes after we decided to call them. One of the two panchas was present at the time of the first panchanama. The other was a local person whom I knew by face. I as well as the Mumbai police officers told them that a search is to be taken. On seeing the panch who had been present at the time of first panchanama, I suggested that some other person be called, but it was difficult as people are not prepared to work as panch witness for a police. I cannot say exactly whether it was chowkidar Laxman who had called the two panchas at the first spot. I knew about the procedure of taking certain article found during investigation in our possession.

17. Mumbai police had seized and taken away the polythene bag in which the black powder was found. That polythene bag with the powder in it was kept in the plastic jar. Mumbai police did not take the signature of any police officer of Bihar present there on the labels that were affixed. I did not take the black powder in my hands. I do not remember whether I took the polythene bag in my

hands. The Mumbai police said that they suspected that the black powder may be explosive substance. I do not remember the name of the officer who expressed this suspicion. There were three police officers from Mumbai and no one else. I also suspected that it was explosive substance as the accused was wanted in a serious case. I do not remember his name, but one API handled the substance. I cannot say who took out the polythene bag from behind the clothes bundles below the cot. I and the Mumbai police officers searched the rooms along with the panchas. All the officers and the panchas who were inside the house searched the rooms. There was no lady police member in our team. We had offered our searches to the members of the house. Wife, mother and 4-5 children of the accused were in the house. The mother was old about 60-62 years of age. The children were below the age of 10-11 years. I do not remember the age of the youngest child. There was no male member in the house. I cannot say whether a Muslim woman can take the search of the person of male police officers. I and Sr. PI Tajane asked the women to take our searches. I also told them that they can get our searches from anyone, if they wish. I cannot say whether our offer of our searches

mentioned in the panchanama. Both the panchanamas were read over and explained to the panchas in Hindi in my presence. I do not remember whether the above facts were mentioned in the panchanamas. I know that offering of personal searches is an important thing at the time of house search. When the contents of the panchanama were explained in my presence, I could have told them to write it, if I found that it was not written.

18. We did not take the same panchas with us when we went to the house of Khalid at Malma. Two local persons were called to act as panch witness there. The proceedings at the house of Khalid were over at about 7.30 a.m. I required about 10-12 minutes to reach the police station from his house by vehicle. Mumbai police did not come back to my police station. Mumbai police did not lodge any report in my police station at that time. It is not true that I did not report to my superiors about the incident. I had orally informed them. I do not register any complaint if I suspect commission of any offence. I did not ask for a sample of the black powder for my police station. If it is an explosive substance, it is an offence to possess it. It is only when it is proved that it is an explosive substance, then it becomes

an offence to possess it in my jurisdiction. Mumbai police did not give me a sample of the black powder. I did not correspond with the Mumbai police in respect of the black powder after the incident upto 09/09/06. Amrut Raj was the SP of Madhubani in September 2006. I was officer incharge of PS Basupatti in September 2006 also and PSI Yogeshwar Chaudhari was my subordinate. I saw the FIR for the first time after I returned from leave in September 2006 itself. I did not see the copy of FIR received from Mumbai police. Ext. 1098 is the FIR that I referred to.

19. It is not true that I did not go to both the places on that day, that no search was taken in my presence and nothing was seized in my presence and therefore there is no reference to me in both the panchanamas. I do not remember whether there is no reference to me. I do not agree that there is no reference to me in both the panchanamas. (Learned advocate makes a request to the witness for reading and explaining the first paragraph of both the panchanamas to the witness). It is true that my name is not mentioned in the panchanamas Exts. 467 and 500. It is not true that I deposed falsely to help my counterparts in Mumbai.

MCOC SPL.21/06

PW 107/21

Ext.1095

(Adjourned for recess).

Date: 22/06/11

Special Judge

(After recess)

Adjd. to 23/06/2011 as per adjournment application Ext.1101 by adv.

Wahab Khan.

Date: 22/06/11

Special Judge

Date : 23/06/11

Resumed on SA

Cross-examination by adv Wahab Khan for A2, 7 and 10

20. PSI Yogeshwar Choudhary is in service and is available. He has not come with me. The nearest magistrate court is at the distance of 40 kms. at Madhubani. Our officer goes to the magistrate court. We have got an FIR book. An FIR is prepared with four copies. Details of the incident are mentioned in columns. I have brought the FIR register with me. The copies are not numbered. I do not remember whether a copy of the FIR No. 102/06 was sent to Mumbai police. Copy of the FIR is required to be sent to the Magistrate. There is no column in the proforma of the FIR to mention the date of sending the FIR to the Magistrate. If a written complaint is given, the signature of the complainant is not taken on the FIR even if he is present. I do not remember whether there is any standing order to this effect. There is a space provided in the FIR for the complainant to sign. There is a column for filling in brief facts of the incident. There is a column for mentioning the reasons for delay in lodging the FIR. It is not correct to say that Ext.1098 is not the complete format

of FIR. Description of the seized property is required to be given. It is true that Ext.1098 does not contain the description of the seized property and the space is blank. The column for giving the reasons for delay is also blank. It is true that if a complaint is given in writing, there is a mention in the proforma FIR that it is treated as report. It is true that in the margin of the written complaint, the details of the crime number, date and time are mentioned. It is true that the FIR, written complaint, panchanama, etc., documents are placed before the superior officers who sign on them and put their official stamps and give the directions about the investigation, if necessary. It is not true that such documents are produced in the court and one can see such signatures and official stamps of the superior officers.

21. PSI Bachha Upadhyay is available and in service. (Witness is asked to show the FIR register containing the original of Ext. 1098). It is true that it is not mentioned in Ext.1098 that the statement of PSI Yogeshwar Chowdhary is treated as the complaint. He can explain the reason for it. It is not true that the date of sending the copy to the magistrate is not mentioned. It is on the top right corner. Except the requisition for help, the ATS police did not give copies of panchanama

or any other documents. I did not ask them to give the copies.

22. I do not remember whether the small plastic pouch, in which the sample was taken, was already with the ATS police or whether they arranged for it at the spot. The plastic jar was in the house. I had seen that a candle was lighted at the spot. I cannot say whether the seal that was affixed to the lac seal was of metal or rubber. I do not remember whether red lac seal was used. I had seen lac at the spot. I did not take the seal from the ATS police and read it. I do not know the contents of the seal. (Witness is shown the envelope Art.40-C). The words on the lac seal and on the rubber stamp are not readable. I do not remember whether any coin was used in my presence. I cannot tell the name of the officer who had the seal in his hands. I had seen the seal earlier at the time of the seizure of the mobile handsets. The ATS police did not take my help in connection with the seal. They did not tell me that they had not obtained the seal from the government for their office. They did not tell me to call for the seal from my police station. They did not tell me that they are possessing a seal provided to them. I cannot say whether the ATS police of Mumbai were not given their official seal upto 20/7/2006. It

is not true that the mobiles were not sealed. I do not know what was written in the panchanama about it.

23. The ATS police did not take photographs or lift fingerprints in the house of Kamal. Some inquiry was made with his family members. They had given answers. Their statements were not recorded officially on plain paper. No notice or summons was given to them. Investigation is made after a crime is registered and statements of witnesses are taken. I do not know whether after the FIR was registered in our police station on 9/9/2006, whether the statements of the witnesses at the spot and from the house of the accused were recorded. We were in the house of Kamal for about 45 minutes. The entire work was finished in this period. Six officers were taking the search for about 10-15 minutes. There was one room on the ground floor and one on the first floor. The family members were inside the house during the search. The officers making the search were inside the house. Kamal's written statement was not recorded before starting the search. The black powder that was found was shown to the family members. They did not give any reaction, therefore, it was not written by the ATS police nor in our

police station. No officer from the Bomb Disposal team was called there.

24. I do not know whether no action was taken against the family members till today. I did not make inquiry with them after 20/7/2006. I had gone to their house once and asked them about the powder, but they said that they do not know anything about it. I did not keep any record about this inquiry.

25. The ATS police had come by Sumo jeep which had Bihar registration number. When Kamal was found, they arrested him and took him with them. It is not correct that Kamal was not arrested in my presence, that a mobile was not seized from him, that black powder was not seized from his house, that nothing was sealed in my presence. The IO can state as to whether any further investigation was done after the FIR was lodged in our police on 9/9/2006. The complaint was registered against accused Kamal. I do not have the record, therefore, I cannot say whether any efforts were made to arrest him. I do not know whether any correspondence was made with the ATS police to send the seized articles, whether any ATS officer was called for giving statement, whether statements of the

panch witnesses were taken, whether opinion of the police prosecutor was taken for filing final report. I do not remember whether any chargesheet was filed. It is true that my statement recorded in that case is not with the documents with the FIR Ext.1098 and I do not have its copy. It is not true that I gave false evidence.

26. I am in Mumbai from 20/6/2011. I am not staying in hotel. I am staying in the Azad Maidan police club for the transit officers. One label was pasted on the jar by gum. I do not remember on what portion of the jar it was pasted. I do not remember whether the jar was sealed. I cannot say whether there are markings of gum around the label on the side of the jar, however, there is some marking there. I do not remember whether it was there at that time.

No re-examination

R.O.

Special Judge

Date:-23/06/2011

**(Y.D. SHINDE)
SPECIAL JUDGE
UNDER MCOC ACT,99,
MUMBAI.**