M.C.O.C. SPECIAL CASE NO. OF 21/06

DATE: 29TH MARCH, 2011

EXT.NO.838

DEPOSITION OF WITNESS NO.81 FOR THE PROSECUTION

I do hereby on solemn affirmation state that:

My Name : Dr. Satish Balkrishna Dharap

Age : 49 years

Occupation : Service

Res. Address : 905, Rishikesh Apartment, Veer Savarkar Marg,

Dadar, Mumbai-28

.....

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY SPP RAJA THAKARE FOR THE STATE.

- 1. I am working as professor of surgery and head of the surgery unit in LTMG Hospital (Sion hospital) and in service since last 21 years. Since July 2006 I am on the same post. I am on emergency duty on every Tuesday and I perform the routine operations on other days. I have one lecturer, two or three registrars and two or three house officers working under me. Therefore, I am conversant with their handwriting and signatures.
- 2. On 11/07/06 I was on emergency duty as it was a Tuesday and at about 6.45 p.m. number of injured patients and dead bodies

MCOC SPL.21/06 PW 81/2 Ext.838

started arriving at the hospital following the serial bomb blasts in the local railways, mainly from near Mahim station. The hospital was full of such patients. We all doctors and staff who were available at that time gave treatment to the injured. The dead bodies were separated and were kept for identification and thereafter post mortem was done. I will try to identify the signatures on the certificates. The certificate now shown to me is in the handwriting of Dr. Navin Goyal, who was registrar working under me and who is now at Udaipur. It bears his signature. The treatments by various doctors working under me given to the injured on that day was being monitored by me.

3. As per the record with me the patient by name Kalpesh Raut was admitted for injuries sustained by him. He was first examined at 7.00 p.m. on 11/07/06. I had seen him on the next day and had commented about the treatment. The certificate was issued by Dr. Goyal. Its contents are correct as per the record with me except the time of 6.00 p.m. about the history of the bomb blasts which might not be factually correct. (It is marked as Ext.839). The patient was discharged on 21/07/06 as per the record with me, but the certificate mentions that he was discharged on 18/07/06.

(Ld SPP submits that the prosecution will examine the doctors who have signed the certificates and have performed operations. He prays for discharging the witness. Hence, the witness is discharged).

(Y.D. SHINDE)
SPECIAL JUDGE
UNDER MCOC ACT,99,
MUMBAI.

Date:-30/03/2011